# Effects of moderate Damköhler number on miscible viscous fingering involving viscosity decrease due to a chemical reaction 

YUICHIRO NAGATSU $\dagger$, Y USUKE KONDO, YOSHIHITOKATOAND YUTAKA TADA<br>Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Graduate School of Engineering, Nagoya Institute of Technology, Gokiso-cho, Showa-ku, Nagoya, Aichi 466-8555, Japan

(Received 1 May 2008 and in revised form 28 November 2008)


#### Abstract

We have succeeded in experimentally investigating the effects of a moderate Damköhler number, $D a$ (defined as the ratio between a characteristic time of fluid motion and that of a chemical reaction), for various Péclet numbers, $P e$, on miscible viscous fingering involving a decrease in the viscosity of the displaced liquid due to a chemical reaction in Hele-Shaw cells. We achieved this by using a chemical reaction between a polymer solution and metal ions. Main analysis has been done for the radial fingering. In the range of $P e$ employed here, the fingering patterns without the reaction ( $D a=0$ ) were independent of $P e$. The fingering patterns with the reaction depended on the single parameter, $D a$, and the area occupied by the fingering pattern near the injection hole increased with $D a$ in the range of $D a$ employed here. The ratio of the area occupied by the fingering pattern within the circle radius of which is the length of longest finger to the area of the circle increased with $D a$ in the range of Da employed here. This result is opposite to that of Nagatsu et al. (J. Fluid Mech., vol. 571, 2007, p. 475), in which the area was decreased by the reaction decreasing the viscosity involving significantly high $D a$. Experiments in the linear geometry show that the shape of a single finger also depended on the single parameter, $D a$, and the finger width increased near the base with $D a$. This result is also opposite to that in the previous case in which the width of a single finger was considered to be decreased by the reaction. These results, interestingly, show that the effects of the decrease in the displaced liquid's viscosity due to chemical reaction on the fingering pattern for moderate $D a$ are opposite to those for significantly high $D a$. A mechanism for the opposite effects on the fingering pattern depending on $D a$ is discussed.


## 1. Introduction

When a more viscous fluid is displaced by a less viscous one in porous media and in Hele-Shaw cells, the interface or boundary of the two fluids becomes unstable and forms a finger-like pattern. This phenomenon is referred to as viscous fingering. Since the appearance of the pioneering works on the fluid mechanics of viscous fingering, published in the 1950s (Hill 1952; Saffman \& Taylor 1958), many experimental and theoretical studies have been performed and some review articles have been published (Homsy 1987; McCloud \& Maher 1995; Tanveer 2000). This issue regarding Newtonian fluids is well understood. There are two classes of viscous fingering: fingers formed in immiscible systems and those formed in miscible systems. The dimensionless
number that controls the fingering dynamics in immiscible systems is the capillary number $C a$, which is defined as the ratio between viscous and interface-tension forces. In miscible systems, the dimensionless number is the Péclet number $P e$, which is defined as the ratio between convective and diffusive transport rates of mass. For both systems, the nonlinear propagation of viscous fingering is governed by different mechanisms of shielding, spreading and splitting. Shielding is the phenomenon in which a finger slightly ahead of its neighbour fingers quickly outruns them and shields them from further growth. Spreading and splitting are the phenomena in which a finger that spreads until it reaches a certain width becomes unstable and splits (Homsy 1987).

Since the seminal work by Nittmann, Daccord \& Stanley (1985) showing that viscous fingering patterns formed in a non-Newtonian fluid are quite different from those formed in Newtonian fluids, viscous fingering for non-Newtonian fluids has been paid special attention. Experiments in, for instance, clay slurries, colloidal fluids and polymer solutions have revealed branched fractal or fracture-like patterns (Nittmann et al. 1985; van Damme et al. 1986; Lemaire et al. 1991; Zhao \& Maher 1993). The physical origin of the very different structures is so far unclear, mainly because these fluids can simultaneously display many non-Newtonian properties, such as shear thinning or thickening, viscoelasticity, yield stress, etc. Experiments have been performed within the last few years to disentangle the influence that different non-Newtonian flow properties have on the instability by using fluids that each exhibit only one non-Newtonian property, showing experimentally other non-Newtonian effects to be neglected (Lindner, Bonn \& Meunier 2000; Vlad \& Maher 2000; Lindner et al. 2002).

Recently, the effects of changes in a fluid's properties induced by chemical reactions between the more and less viscous liquids on the viscous fingering patterns have been investigated. DeWit \& Homsy (1999a, 1999b) performed a numerical simulation on reactive miscible viscous fingering in porous media by assuming that the fluid's viscosity is given as a function of a chemical species concentration and by using a specific chemical kinetics. They found a new mechanism of viscous fingering that they call the 'droplet' mechanism, which involves the formation of isolated regions of either less or more viscous fluids in connected domains of the other. Fernandez \& Homsy (2003) performed experiments on immiscible viscous fingering with a chemical reaction acting to reduce interfacial tension in a Hele-Shaw cell and found that the reaction made the fingers wider. They characterized the effects of the reaction on the reactive fingering pattern by the Damköhler number $D a$, which is defined as the ratio between a characteristic time of fluid motion and that of a chemical reaction. They showed that the effects of the reaction appeared only for intermediate $D a$, while the reactive fingering patterns were similar to that without the reaction for both small $D a$ and high Da. Nagatsu et al. (2007) succeeded in experimentally showing how a reactive miscible viscous fingering pattern in a radial Hele-Shaw cell changed when the viscosity of the more viscous liquid was varied by instantaneous chemical reactions. This was done by making use of a polymer solution's dependence of viscosity on pH . In this case, instantaneous reactions meant that $D a$ was significantly high or could be treated as infinity. When the viscosity was increased by the chemical reaction, the shielding effect (mentioned earlier) was suppressed and the fingers were widened. As a result, the ratio of the area occupied by the fingering pattern in a circle whose radius was the length of the longest finger was larger in the reactive case compared to the non-reactive case. When the viscosity was decreased by the chemical reaction, in contrast, the shielding effect was enhanced and the fingers were narrowed. These changes led to the area ratio being smaller in the reactive case than in the non-reactive one.


Figure 1. Experimental apparatus to measure the rate of the decrease in viscosity due to the reaction.

In the present study, we have found that a chemical reaction between a polymer solution and metal ions decreases the viscosity of the polymer solution. Additionally, we have found that the concentration of the metal ions changes the rate of the decrease in the viscosity, which corresponds to the chemical reaction rate, under the condition in which the rate is finite. We have experimentally investigated the effects of $D a$ under the condition of finite $D a$ on a miscible viscous fingering pattern in a radial Hele-Shaw cell involving a decrease in the more viscous liquid's viscosity due to chemical reaction by using a polymer solution as the more viscous liquid and a solution containing metal ions as the less viscous liquid. In addition, the experiments using a linear Hele-Shaw cell have been additionally performed to investigate the effects of the present reaction on a single finger.

The present article is organized as follows. Section 2 first describes a detail of the liquids and chemical reaction employed and next explains several parameters regarding the experiment on reactive miscible viscous fingering in the radial geometry. Section 3 describes visualization results of the radial fingering pattern and some quantitative characterizations of the pattern. Furthermore, the experiments regarding a single finger in the linear geometry are described in this section. Mechanism of the change in the fingering pattern by the present reaction is discussed in §4. Finally, conclusion is given in $\S 5$.

## 2. Experimental

### 2.1. Liquids and chemical reaction

In the present study, we have found that a chemical reaction between a polyethylene oxide (PEO) solution and a solution containing copper ions $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ and ferrous ions $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ decreases the viscosity of the mixture solution and that the concentration of the ions changes the rate of the decrease in the viscosity, which corresponds to the chemical reaction rate, under the condition in which the rate is finite. Here, the rate of the viscosity's decrease due to the chemical reaction was measured by using the experimental apparatus shown in figure 1 . We regard torque imposed on a shaft involving an impeller required to agitate a solution in a vessel at a constant rotational speed as the indicator of the viscosity of the solution in the vessel. We used a cylindrical acrylic vessel with 130 mm inner diameter and a six-blade paddle impeller 100 mm in diameter and 60 mm tall. A total of 250 ml of the less viscous solution containing $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ at given concentrations was added for 40 s by a roller pump to the vessel in which the more viscous $0.67 \mathrm{wt} \%$ PEO solution with 750 ml was agitated by an impeller at 100 rpm . After the addition of the ionic solution, the concentration of the PEO in the solution in the vessel became $0.5 \mathrm{wt} \%$ and that of each type of ion became a quarter of the concentration of that ion type in the

|  | More viscous liquid | Less viscous liquid | $\kappa\left(\mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Case (I) | $0.67 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{PEO}$ solution | Deionized water | 0 |
| Case (II) | $0.67 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{PEO}$ solution | $\mathrm{CuCl}_{2}$ | $1.0 \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{FeCl}_{2}$ |
| 1.0 M solution | 0.011 |  |  |
| Case (III) | $0.67 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{PEO}$ solution | $\mathrm{CuCl}_{2}$ | $5.0 \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{FeCl}_{2}$ |
| 1.0 M solution | 0.030 |  |  |
| Case (IV) | $0.67 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{PEO}$ solution | $\mathrm{CuCl}_{2}$ | $3.0 \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{FeCl}_{2}$ |
|  | 3.0 M solution | 0.049 |  |

Table 1. Liquids used for the measurement of the rate of decrease in viscosity due to the reaction and the obtained first-order rate constant of the decrease, $\kappa$.


Figure 2. Time evolution of the measured torque during the decrease of viscosity due to the reaction. The grey region indicates the period of addition of the less viscous liquid.
added ionic solution. Agitation was kept constant at 100 rpm during and after the addition of the ionic solution. We measured the torque during and after the injection of the ionic solution using a torque meter. We used PEO the molecular weight of which is 5 million (Alfa Aesar). A mixture of copper chloride solution and ferrous chloride solution was used as the ionic solution. Four cases in which we varied the concentration of $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ were examined, as shown in table 1 . Note that case (I) is a non-reactive case, which we used as a reference experiment for the reactive cases (II)-(IV).

Time evolutions of the measured torque, $T$, in the four cases are shown in figure 2, in which $t=0 \mathrm{~s}$ is taken to be the time when the less viscous solution begins to be added. In case (I), involving no metal ions, the torque decreases with time during the addition of the less viscous solution, while it increases with time from the halfway point of the addition, and finally it reaches a constant value. The torque's decrease and its following increase during the addition occurs for the following reason. Immediately after the start of the injection of the less viscous liquid, the less viscous liquid flows near the wall of the vessel and acts as a lubricant, which results in a decrease in the torque imposed on the shaft of the impeller required to agitate the solution at a constant rotational speed. As the less viscous liquid starts to mix with the more viscous liquid, the lubrication action gradually disappears, which leads to a renewed increase in the torque. In case (II), the torque's decrease during the addition and the


Figure 3. Time evolution of the normalized torque in a semi-logarithmic plot.
torque's increase immediately after the addition occur as in case (I), while the torque decreases again after the temporal increase in the torque. The torque's decrease after the completion of the addition is caused by the decrease in the solution's viscosity due to a chemical reaction between the PEO solution and the metal ions. Finally, the torque reaches a constant value. In cases (III) and (IV), because of the torque's decrease due to the addition of the less viscous solution plus the relatively faster rate of the viscosity's decrease due to the relatively faster reaction, the torque monotonically decreases with time and finally reaches a constant value. The time required until the torque finally reaches a constant value becomes shorter in the order of cases (IV), (III) and (II), which indicates that the chemical reaction rate is larger in the order of these cases. Note that our viscosity measurement by a rotational viscometer confirmed that the viscosity of the solution in the vessel after the completion of the addition of the ionic solution finally became that of water for cases (II)-(IV). These results show that the difference in the concentrations of the metal ions employed in the present study induces the rate of the viscosity's decrease but does not induce the extent of the viscosity's decrease. Also note that the constant value the torque finally reaches is somewhat larger in cases (III) and (IV) than in case (II). This is considered to be due to the density of the mixture being larger in cases (III) and (IV) than in case (II).

We normalize the decrease in the torque by the difference between the initial value $T_{0}$ and the final value $T_{\infty}$, which is denoted as $T^{*}$, as follows:

$$
T^{*}=\frac{T-T_{\infty}}{T_{0}-T_{\infty}}
$$

As shown in figure 3 , the decrease in the reduced torque exhibits a single exponential decay. The slope gives the first-order rate constant of the viscosity's decrease due to the chemical reaction, $\kappa$. The obtained values of $\kappa$ in cases (II)-(IV) are shown in table 1. This procedure is essentially the same as that employed by Fernandez \& Homsy (2003). It can be confirmed that $\kappa$ is larger in the order of cases (IV), (III) and (II).

|  | More viscous liquid | Less viscous liquid | $\kappa\left(\mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Case (A) | $1.0 \mathrm{wt} \%$ PEO solution | Deionized water | 0 |
| Case (B) | $1.0 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{PEO}$ solution | $\mathrm{CuCl}_{2}$ | $0.5 \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{FeCl}_{2} 0.5 \mathrm{M}$ solution |
| Case (C) | $1.0 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{PEO}$ solution | $\mathrm{CuCl}_{2}$ | $2.5 \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{FeCl}_{2} 0.5 \mathrm{M}$ solution |
| Case (D) | $1.0 \mathrm{wt} \%$ PEO solution | $\mathrm{CuCl}_{2} 1.5 \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{FeCl}_{2} 1.5 \mathrm{M}$ solution | 0.030 |
|  |  | 0.049 |  |

Table 2. Liquids used for the viscous fingering experiment and the first-order rate constant of the decrease in viscosity due to the reaction, $\kappa$.


Figure 4. Steady shear viscosity, $\eta$, of $1.0 \mathrm{wt} \%$ PEO solution with the blue dye.

### 2.2. On viscous fingering experiments

A viscous fingering experiment was performed by using the combination of the more and less viscous liquids shown in table 2. It is reasonable to regard the average concentrations of PEO and metal ions in the boundary region between the more viscous PEO solution and the less viscous ionic solution as the half values of the initial concentration of PEO in the more viscous liquid and that of metal ions in the less viscous liquid. The average concentrations of PEO and metal ions in the boundary region in cases (A)-(D) in the viscous fingering experiment are the same as the concentration of PEO and metal ions in the vessel after the addition of the less viscous liquid in the experiment in which we measured the rate of decreasing viscosity due to the reaction. Thus, the values of $\kappa$ obtained in the case of (I)-(IV) in the torque experiment were used as the rate of viscosity's decrease due to the reaction in cases (A)-(D), respectively.

It is customary to dye the less viscous liquid in viscous fingering experiments where both the less and more viscous fluids are liquids. In the present study, however, the more viscous liquid, i.e. the $1.0 \mathrm{wt} \%$ PEO solution, was dyed blue with methylene blue because it was difficult to find dyes for the solution containing $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$. The concentration of the methylene blue was set as $0.1 \mathrm{wt} \%$. We used a rheometer (HAAKE RS600) to measure the steady shear viscosity, $\eta$, and the steady first normal stress difference (which corresponds to the elastic property), $N_{1}$, of the $1.0 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{PEO}$ solution with the dye. The measurement result is shown in figure 4. The steady shear viscosity shows shear-thinning behaviour. No measurable value of $N_{1}$ was found in the range of $\dot{\gamma}$ tested (up to $100 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ), and thus it does not appear in figure 4. This
result indicates that elastic effects are negligible for the PEO solution used in the viscous fingering experiment in the range of $\dot{\gamma}<100 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. As mentioned later, in the present viscous fingering experiments the shear rate in the vicinity of the fingertip was significantly less than $100 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Therefore, the elastic property of the PEO solution is negligible in the present study, although elastic effects are known to influence the viscous fingering pattern, as mentioned in the $\S 1$.

The viscous fingering experiments were conducted using radial and linear HeleShaw cells. Since analysis of the experiments has been performed mainly for the radial geometry, we explain only the experiments in the radial geometry in this section. The description of the experiments in the linear geometry will be made in §3.5. The experimental set-up was the same as that used in our previous study (Nagatsu et al. 2007). In the present study, the gap width $b$ is set as $b=0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. The bulk finger advancement velocity, $U$, is defined in (2.1) as well as in Nagatsu et al. (2007), as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=\frac{q}{2 \pi R b} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q$ is the volumetric flow rate of the injection of the less viscous liquid. This indicates the increase rate of the circle's radius, $R$, when the less viscous liquid completely displaces the more viscous liquid, keeping the boundary between the two liquids circular. The Péclet number, $P e$, is as defined in (2.2) as well as in Nagatsu et al. (2007), as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P e=\frac{R U}{D}=\frac{q}{2 \pi b D}, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D$ is the diffusion coefficient between the more and less viscous liquids. In the present study, $D$ can be regarded as the diffusion coefficient of the polymer at small concentrations in water, because the more viscous liquid is $1.0 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{PEO}$ solution and the less viscous liquid is aqueous solution, and so $D$ is estimated to be $1 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (Nagatsu et al. 2007). From (2.2), we see that $P e$ is proportional to $U$, and thus in the present study it is used as a parameter indicating the bulk finger advancement velocity. Because $b$ is constant here, $P e$ is also proportional to $q$.

As in Nagatsu et al. (2007), the shear rate in the vicinity of the fingertip, $\dot{\gamma}_{f}$, is roughly estimated in (2.3) as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\gamma}_{f}=\frac{U}{b / 2}=\frac{q}{\pi R b^{2}} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.1), $U$ is largest at the injection hole, at $R=2 \mathrm{~mm}$ (the radius of the injection hole for liquids in the Hele-Shaw cell is 2 mm ). In the present experiments, we examined the condition of $\dot{\gamma}_{f}$ at $R=2 \mathrm{~mm}$ in the range of $0.41 \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \leqslant \dot{\gamma}_{f} \leqslant 4.1 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, resulting in negligible elastic effects. This condition corresponds to $2.0 \times 10^{2} \leqslant$ $P e \leqslant 20 \times 10^{2}$ in terms of $P e$.

We define the characteristic time as the nominal residence time of fluid $t_{r}$. As found in Fernandez \& Homsy (2003)

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{r}=\frac{b R_{0}^{2}}{2 q} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Although Fernandez \& Homsy (2003) set $R_{0}$ as the radius of the cell, we set $R_{0}=58 \mathrm{~mm}$, because our cell was not formed by circular plates but by square plates. The reason for our choice of 58 mm is explained later. The Damköhler number $D a$, which is the ratio of the residence time of fluid to the characteristic time of the

| $P e$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Case (A) } \\ \kappa=0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Case (B) } \\ & \kappa=0.011 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Case (C) } \\ \kappa=0.030 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Case (D) } \\ \kappa=0.049 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2.0 \times 10^{2}$ |  |  | (c) | (d) |
| $4.0 \times 10^{2}$ | (e) |  | (g) | (h) |
| $10 \times 10^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $20 \times 10^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |

Figure 5. Miscible viscous fingering patterns for various $P e$ and for cases (A)-(D), where the amount of injected less viscous liquid is constant $\left(q t=7.7 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~m}^{3}\right.$, where $t$ is the injection time of the less viscous liquid). The value of $D a$ is shown in the lower right of each image. The injection time, $t$, is $t=1200,600,240$ and 120 s for $P e=2.0 \times 10^{2}, P e=4.0 \times 10^{2}, P e=10 \times$ $10^{2}$ and $P e=20 \times 10^{2}$, respectively.
reaction, is defined as (2.5) as well as in Fernandez \& Homsy (2003), as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D a=\frac{\kappa b R_{0}^{2}}{2 q} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the present study, $D a$ was widely varied from 1.4 to 64 in the reactive cases. Note that $D a=0$ in the non-reactive case.

## 3. Results

### 3.1. Fingering patterns for various Pe and Da under the condition of a set amount of injected less viscous liquid

Figure 5 shows miscible viscous fingering patterns in cases (A)-(D) for various Pe in which the total amount of the injected less viscous liquid is always the same ( $q t=7.7 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~m}^{3}$, where $t$ is the injection time of the less viscous liquid). The value of $D a$ is shown in the lower right corner of each image. In case (A) (the non-reactive case), no significant effects of $P e$ on the fingering pattern were observed in the range of $P e$ tested. In the reactive cases (B)-(D), the finger width around the finger tip seemed to be slightly widened for each $P e$. Significant effects of the reaction on the pattern appeared in the region around the injection hole. The area occupied by the


Figure 6. Example of the $d-r$ curve. (a) Photograph of the fingering pattern. (b) The extracted image of the fingering pattern from figure (a) by image analysis and the definition of the area density of the fingering pattern, $d$. Here the area occupied by the fingering pattern within an arbitrary radius $r$ and the area of the circle of radius $\pi r^{2}$ are denoted as $A(r)$ and $A_{0}(r)$, respectively. (c) The $d-r$ curve for the fingering pattern in figure (b).
fingering pattern around the injection hole increased with $\kappa$ for each $P e$ and with a decrease in $P e$ for each $\kappa$. These results show that the area occupied by the pattern around the injection hole increased with $D a$ for each $P e$ or for each $\kappa$. As mentioned above, the patterns are independent of $P e$ in the non-reactive case in the range of $P e$ tested. This suggests that the fingering pattern depends only on the single parameter, $D a$. Indeed, similar $D a$ gives similar finger patterns. We can see similar patterns in (c) and (h) $(D a=32-39)$, in $(b),(g)$ and $(l)(D a=13-20)$, in $(f),(k)$ and $(p)$ $(D a=6.5-7.9)$ or in $(j)$ and $(o)(D a=2.8-4.0)$. Note that $R_{0}$ is set to 58 mm in the present study because the inner diameter of the flange is 116 mm (and thus the radius is 58 mm ), as shown in figure $5(a)$.

In the present study, the concept of area density proposed by Chen (1989) was used to quantitatively evaluate the fingering patterns. An example is shown in figure 6. The fingering pattern was extracted from the photograph by an image analysis system (figures $6 a$ and $6 b$ ). We measured the area density of the fingering pattern, $d$, which is defined as the ratio of the area occupied by the fingering pattern, $A(r)$, within an arbitrary radius $r$ to the area of the circle of the radius, $A_{0}(r)=\pi r^{2}$ (figure $6 b$ ). We plotted $d$ versus $r$ (figure $6 c$ ). The $d-r$ curve and other quantitative estimation described hereafter are the average of two experiments for each experimental condition.

Figure 7 shows the effects of $P e$ on the $d-r$ curves of the fingering pattern shown in figure 5 for cases (A)-(D). In the non-reactive case (A), we can confirm that the $d-r$ curves are independent of $P e$. In the reactive cases (B)-(D), $d$ around the injection


Figure 7. Effects of $P e$ on the $d-r$ curve for cases (A)-(D) regarding the fingering patterns shown in figure 5.
hole ( $r \approx 20 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) increases with a decrease in $P e$, although the difference in $d$ becomes small in the region of large $r(r \approx 40 \mathrm{~mm})$. Figure 8 shows the effects of $\kappa$ on the $d-r$ curves for various $P e$. For each $P e, d$ increases with $\kappa$ for any $r$ in the range in which the pattern is present, although the difference between cases (C) and (D) is slight. The increase in $d$ with $\kappa$ is more pronounced around the injection hole ( $r \approx 20 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), while the difference in $d$ becomes small in the region of large $r(r \approx 40 \mathrm{~mm})$ (regarding this, more discussion will be made in §3.4). In other words, figures 7 and 8 show that $d$ around the injection hole ( $r \approx 20 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) increases with $D a$ for each $P e$ or for each $\kappa$. Figure 9 shows the $d-r$ curves in the reactive cases in terms of $D a$. Here, the $d-r$ curves of similar values of $D a$ are drawn with the same colour. In each colour, $D a$ is high in the order of the solid, broken and dotted lines. As shown, the curves of the same colour roughly coincide. This shows that the configurations of the $d-r$ curve depend on $D a$ and are independent $P e$. These results shown in figures $7-9$ indicate that the observation results shown in figure 5 can be quantitatively confirmed by the $d-r$ curves.

To quantitatively evaluate the extent of the increase in the area occupied by the fingering pattern around the injection hole, we measured the area density of the pattern at $r=20 \mathrm{~mm}$ in the fingering pattern shown in figure $5, d_{20}$, which is the ratio of the area occupied by the fingering pattern within $r<20 \mathrm{~mm}$ to the area of the circle with a radius of 20 mm . Figure 10 shows the relationship between $d_{20}$ and $D a$. As shown, $d_{20}$ depends on the single parameter $D a$ for various $P e$ and $\kappa$ and increases


Figure 8. Effects of $\kappa$ on the $d-r$ curve for various $P e$ regarding the fingering patterns shown in figure 5 .
with $D a$. The dependence of $d_{20}$ on the single parameter $D a$ is considered to be caused by the $d-r$ curves being independent of $P e$ for the non-reactive cases in the present experimental condition.

We measure the fractal dimension, $d_{f}$, of the whole fingering pattern shown in figure 5 using the box counting method (Vicsek 1989; Fernandez \& Homsy 2003). Results are shown in figure 11. We find that $d_{f}$ also scales with $D a$ and increases with $D a$.

### 3.2. Effects of $D a$ on the time evolution of the reaction's influence on the fingering pattern

In the present study, it is important to investigate how the effects of the reaction on the fingering pattern change with time, since the chemical reaction rate is finite. Time evolutions of the effect of the reaction for low $D a$ and high $D a$ are displayed in figures 12 and 13, respectively. Figures $12(a)$ and $12(b)$ are the photographs of time evolution of the fingering pattern for $D a=0$ (no reaction) and $D a=6.5$ for $P e=20 \times 10^{2}$. Figure $12(c)$ shows the $d-r$ curves (plots) of the fingering patterns shown in figures $12(a)$ and $12(b)$. In the early stage $(t=10 \mathrm{~s})$, a difference between the patterns and the $d-r$ curves without and with the reaction was hardly observed. In the middle stage $(t=60 \mathrm{~s})$, a slight difference between them appeared, and in the later stage ( $t=120 \mathrm{~s}$ ), the difference between them was more pronounced. It should be noted that


Figure 9. The $d-r$ curves for various values of $D a$ regarding the fingering patterns shown in figure 5 .


Figure 10. Relationship between $d_{20}$ and $D a$ regarding the fingering patterns shown in figure 5.
in the middle and later stages the $d-r$ curves around the injection hole ( $r \approx 20 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) in the non-reactive case hardly change, while those in the reactive case increase with time. Figures $13(a)$ and $13(b)$ are the photographs of time evolution of the fingering pattern for $D a=0$ (no reaction) and $D a=64$ for $P e=2.0 \times 10^{2}$. Figure $13(c)$ shows the $d-r$ curves (plots) of the patterns in figures $13(a)$ and $13(b)$. In the early stage ( $t=100 \mathrm{~s}$ ), a slight difference was observed. For high $D a$, a significant difference was observed in the middles stage $(t=600 \mathrm{~s})$, and in the later stage ( $t=1200 \mathrm{~s}$ ), their difference was more pronounced. The results in figures 12 and 13 show that the influence of the


Figure 11. Fractal dimension, $d_{f}$, versus $D a$ regarding the whole fingering patterns shown in figure 5.
reaction of the fingering pattern appears earlier for high $D a$ than for low $D a$ during fingering pattern formation. In the range of the present experimental conditions, the significant influence of the reaction on the pattern appears beginning in the later stage for low $D a$, while it appears beginning in the middle stage for high $D a$.

### 3.3. Area density of the fingering pattern under the condition of a constant length of the longest finger

In our previous study (Nagatsu et al. 2007), the area density of the fingering pattern, $d_{a}$, was defined as the ratio of the area occupied by the pattern within the circle with a radius $r_{\text {max }}$ ( $r_{\text {max }}$ is defined as the length of the longest finger in each fingering pattern) to the area of the circle, $\pi r_{\text {max }}^{2}$, and was employed as a quantitative evaluation of the fingering pattern. In the present study, the area density $d_{a}$ in Nagatsu et al. (2007) is denoted as $d_{\max }$. Figure 14 shows the relationship between $d_{\max }$ and $D a$ in which $r_{\text {max }}=40 \mathrm{~mm}$ (in Nagatsu et al. (2007), $r_{\max }=37 \mathrm{~mm}$ was mainly employed). Note that in this case the injection time of the less viscous liquid is not the same in each case. As shown, $d_{\max }$ increased with $D a$. In other words, $d_{\max }$ with the reaction is larger than that without the reaction in the range of $D a$ employed here. It should be emphasized that in the previous study (Nagatsu et al. 2007) we showed that the decrease in the viscosity of the more viscous liquid induced by the instantaneous reaction ( $D a$ is sufficiently high) decreased $d_{\max }$. The findings of the current and previous studies, interestingly, show that the effects of the decrease in the viscosity of the more viscous liquid due to chemical reaction on the viscous fingering pattern for moderate $D a$ are opposite to those for significantly high $D a$. In other words, these effects depend on Da.

### 3.4. Comparison between the increase in $d_{\max }$ by the reaction decreasing viscosity with

 moderate Da and that by the reaction increasing viscosity with significantly high DaIn the previous study (Nagatsu et al., 2007), we showed that the increase in the viscosity of the more viscous liquid induced by the instantaneous reaction increased $d_{\text {max }}$. In the present study, we attempt to quantitatively compare an increase in


Figure 12. Time evolution of the fingering pattern for low $D a$. The photographs of time evolution of the fingering pattern for (a) $D a=0$ (no reaction) and (b) $D a=6.5$ for $P e=20 \times 10^{2}$. The injection time is shown in the lower right of each image. (c) The $d-r$ curves (plots) of the fingering patterns shown in (a) and (b).
the area of the fingering pattern by the present reaction decreasing the viscosity involving moderate $D a$ with that by the reaction increasing the viscosity involving significantly high $D a$. Here, we denote the difference between $d$ without reaction and that with reaction as $\Delta d$. Figure $15(a)$ shows the typical $d-r$ curves (plots) for the present system and for the previous system involving the viscosity increase under the condition of $r_{\max }=40 \mathrm{~mm}$. Figure $15(b)$ shows the $\Delta d-r$ curves obtained from the data in figure $15(a)$. Here, we employ the cases shown in figures $5(a)$ (without


Figure 13. Time evolution of the fingering pattern for high $D a$. The photographs of time evolution of the fingering pattern for (a) $D a=0$ (no reaction) and (b) $D a=64$ for $P e=$ $2.0 \times 10^{2}$. The injection time is shown in the lower right of each image. (c) The $d-r$ curves (plots) of the fingering patterns shown in (a) and (b).
reaction) and $5(c)$ (with reaction) for the present system and the cases shown in figures 5(a) (without reaction) and 5(b) (with reaction) in Nagatsu et al. (2007) for the previous system. In the previous case, $\Delta d$ increases with $r$ until $r \approx 30 \mathrm{~mm}$ and slightly decreased for $r>30 \mathrm{~mm}$. In the present system, $\Delta d$ takes a maximum at $r \approx 20 \mathrm{~mm}$ and significantly decreases for $r>20 \mathrm{~mm}$. These results indicate that the effects of reaction significantly appear around the injection hole $(r<20 \mathrm{~mm})$ in the present system, while these appear in the whole fingering pattern in the previous system.


Figure 14. Relationship between $d_{\max }$ and $D a$ under the condition of $r_{\max }=40 \mathrm{~mm}$.
The comparison is made by another way. Here, we introduce a new parameter, $\Delta A(r)$, defined as $\Delta A(r)=A(r+\Delta r)-A(r)$, where $A(r)$ is the area of the fingering pattern within radius $r$ as mentioned earlier (see figure 6). We can examine where a change in the area of the fingering pattern by reaction significantly occurs by comparing $\Delta A(r)-r$ relation in the non-reactive case with that in the reactive case. Figure 16 shows $\Delta A(r)$ versus $r$ for the present system (the cases shown in figures $5 a$ (without reaction) and $5 c$ (with reaction)) and for the previous system (the cases shown in figures $5 a$ (without reaction) and $5 b$ (with reaction) in Nagatsu et al. (2007)) under the condition of $r=40 \mathrm{~mm}$. Here, $\Delta r$ is set to 0.4 mm . In the previous system, the difference in $\Delta A(r)$ by the reaction is significant in the region of $8 \mathrm{~mm}<r<40 \mathrm{~mm}$. In the present system, in contrast, the difference is significant only in the region of $8 \mathrm{~mm}<r<30 \mathrm{~mm}$. It should be emphasized that little difference is observed in the region of $30 \mathrm{~mm}<r<40 \mathrm{~mm}$. Note that the whole area is occupied by the fingering pattern in the region of small $r(r<8 \mathrm{~mm})$ for all cases. These results show that the area of the fingering pattern is increased in the whole fingering by the previous reaction; on the other hand, the present reaction increases the area mainly around the injection hole and does not increase the area around the finger tips.

In terms of the effects of the reaction on a single finger, we considered that the finger was totally widened (which means the finger was widened both near the base and near the tip) by the previous reaction. On the basis of the results in figures 15 and 16 , we can consider that the finger is widened mainly near the base by the present reaction in terms of change in a single finger. The finger widening near the base is considered to lead to the increase in the area occupied by the radial fingering pattern around the injection hole.

### 3.5. Single-finger experiments

In the present study, to obtain direct information regarding the finger width and to answer our consideration mentioned in the preceding section in which the present reaction widens the finger mainly near the base, the experiments where a single finger linearly grows have been additionally performed. In these experiments, the cell's gap


Figure 15. (a) Typical $d-r$ curves (plots) for the present system and for the previous system involving the viscosity increase under the condition of $r_{\max }=40 \mathrm{~mm}$. (b) $\Delta d-r$ curves obtained form the data in (a).
was also set to be $b=0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ by placing a U-shaped silicone rubber between the two glass plates. The width and the length of the linear cell were set to be $w=4 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $l=58 \mathrm{~mm}$, respectively. In the linear geometry, the finger advancement velocity, $U$, the Péclet number, $P e$ and the shear rate in the vicinity of the fingertip, $\dot{\gamma}_{f}$, are defined as, respectively,

$$
\begin{gather*}
U=\frac{q}{w b}  \tag{3.1}\\
P e=\frac{l U}{D}=\frac{q l}{w b D},  \tag{3.2}\\
\dot{\gamma}_{f}=\frac{U}{b / 2}=\frac{2 q}{w b^{2}} . \tag{3.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here, we examined the condition of $\dot{\gamma}_{f}$ in the range of $0.18 \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \leqslant \dot{\gamma}_{f} \leqslant 1.8 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, resulting in negligible elastic effects. This condition corresponds to $2.7 \times$ $10^{3} \leqslant P e \leqslant 27 \times 10^{3}$ in terms of $P e$. The characteristic time as the nominal residence

- Present system (without reaction)
- Present system (with reaction)
$\Delta$ Previous system (without reaction)
- Previous system (with reaction)


Figure 16. $\Delta A(r)$ as function of $r$ under the condition of $r_{\text {max }}=40 \mathrm{~mm}$ for the present system and for the previous system.
time of fluid, $t_{r}$ and the Damköhler number, $D a$, are defined as, respectively,

$$
\begin{align*}
t_{r} & =\frac{w b l}{q}  \tag{3.4}\\
D a & =\frac{\kappa w b l}{q} \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

In the present study, $D a$ was varied from 1.3 to 62 in the reactive cases.
Figure 17 shows the single fingers in cases (A)-(D) for various $P e$ in which the total amount of the injected less viscous liquid is always the same $\left(q t=3.7 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~m}^{3}\right.$, where $t$ is the injection time of the less viscous liquid). The value of $D a$ is also shown. We measured the variations along $x$ in the width of single fingers described in figure 17, normalized the width of the cell, which is denoted as $w_{f}$, where $x$ is the coordinate in the finger propagation direction. The effects of $P e$ on $w_{f}$ for cases (A)-(D) are shown in figure 18, while the effects of $\kappa$ on $w_{f}$ for various $P e$ are shown in figure 19. In the non-reactive case (A), significant effects of $P e$ on $w_{f}$ are not observed in the range of $P e$ tested (figure $18 a$ ). In the reactive cases (B)-(D), significant effects of the reaction on $w_{f}$ appear near the base. Figures $18(b-d)$ show that $w_{f}$ near the base increases with a decrease in $P e$ (with an increase in $D a$ ) although $w_{f}$ near the tip does not significantly vary with $P e$. Figure 19 shows that $w_{f}$ near the base increases with $\kappa$ (with $D a$ ) for each $P e$ (although the difference by $\kappa$ decreases with an increase in $P e$ ), while $w_{f}$ near the tip does not significantly vary with $\kappa$. These results in figures 18 and 19 are summarized in figure 20 in which $w_{f}$ in the reactive cases are shown in terms of $D a$. In this figure, $w_{f}$ in the cases with similar values of $D a$ is drawn with the same colour. In each colour, $D a$ is high in the order of the circle, triangle and square plots. The plots of the same colour roughly coincide, which shows that the shapes of the single finger depend on $D a$ and are independent of $P e$. Figure 20 shows again that the width of a single finger increases with $D a$ near the base whereas do not significantly vary with $D a$ near the tip. This can clearly verify our consideration regarding the effects of the present reaction on the single finger mentioned in the

| $P e$ | Case (A), $\kappa=0$ | Case (B), $\kappa=0.011$ | Case (C), $\kappa=0.030$ | Case (D), $\kappa=0.049$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} 2.7 \times \\ 10^{3} \end{gathered}$ | (a) $D a=0$ | (b) $D a=13$ | (c) $D a=38$ | (d) $D a=62$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 5.2 \times \\ 10^{3} \end{gathered}$ | (e) $D a=0$ | (f) $D a=6.8$ | 1 <br> (g) $D a=20$ | (h) $D a=32$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 13 \times \\ & 10^{3} \end{aligned}$ | (i) $D a=0$ | (j) $D a=2.6$ | (k) $D a=7.6$ | (l) $D a=12$ |
| $27 \times$ $10^{3}$ | $\text { (m) } D a=0$ | (n) $D a=1.3$ | (o) $D a=3.8$ | (p) $D a=6.2$ |

Figure 17. Single fingers for various $P e$ and for cases (A)-(D), where the amount of injected less viscous liquid is constant ( $q t=3.7 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~m}^{3}$, where $t$ is the injection time of the less viscous liquid). The value of $D a$ is also shown. The injection time, $t$, is $t=400,200,80$ and 40 s for $P e=2.7 \times 10^{3}, P e=5.2 \times 10^{3}, P e=13 \times 10^{3}$ and $P e=27 \times 10^{3}$, respectively.


Figure 18. Effects of Pe on $w_{f}$ for cases (A)-(D) regarding the single fingers shown in figure 17.
preceding section. It should be noted that figures 17-20 completely correspond to figures 5 and $7-9$ in the radial case.

We measured the area density of the single finger within $x<20 \mathrm{~mm}$, denoted as $d_{20, \text { lin }}$, which is the ratio of the area occupied by the single finger within $x<20 \mathrm{~mm}$ to the area of the cell within $x<20 \mathrm{~mm}$, which is similar to $d_{20}$ in figure 10 employed in the radial case. The results are shown in figure 21. As shown, $d_{20, \text { lin }}$ depends on the single parameter $D a$ for various $P e$ and $\kappa$ and increases with $D a$. This result is closely similar to that in the radial case shown in figure 10 , although there is a main difference between the experiments in the radial and linear geometries where the velocity of the advancing finger in the radial case slows down during the experiment whereas that in the linear case is unchanged during the experiment (this means $D a$ of the advancing finger decreases during the radial experiment, while $D a$ of the single finger is unchanged during the linear experiment). The similarity between figures 10 and 21 suggests that the decrease in the velocity ( or $D a$ ) of the advancing finger does not play an important role in the formation of the fingering pattern in the radial geometry.


Figure 19. Effects of $\kappa$ on $w_{f}$ for various $P e$ regarding the single fingers shown in figure 17.


Figure 20. $w_{f}$ for various values of $D a$ regarding the single fingers shown in figure 17.


Figure 21. Relationship between $d_{20, \text { lin }}$ and $D a$ regarding the single fingers shown in figure 17.

## 4. Discussion

In §3.3, we showed that the effects of the decrease in the viscosity of the more viscous liquid due to chemical reaction on the viscous fingering pattern for moderate $D a$ are opposite to those for significantly high $D a$. In $\S 3.4$, we described that the present reaction involving moderate $D a$ can be considered to widen a single finger mainly near the base. In § 3.5 , the consideration mentioned in $\S 3.4$ was verified by the single-finger experiments. This is clearly opposite to the case of significantly high $D a$, where the finger is narrowed by the reaction (Nagatsu et al. 2007). Here, we discuss the mechanism for the opposite effects depending on $D a$. In the case of significantly high $D a$, we hypothesized that an overall reaction rate is larger near the tip than near the base due to the larger amount of reactant flux provided near the tip. Thus, the viscosity of the more viscous liquid is relatively smaller near the tip than near the base. Since the displacing liquid can more easily penetrate the region with relatively lower viscosity than it can penetrate the region with relatively higher viscosity, the finger is narrowed. Note that this different selection mechanism of fingering due to anisotropy in the viscosity along the finger interface (or boundary) will be adapted regardless of the system being immiscible or miscible because it is based on a modification in the equation of motion. To discuss the case of moderate $D a$, we introduce a local Damköhler number ( $D a^{*}$ ) based on the local fluid residence time, $t_{r, l}$, or the local finger advancement velocity, $V$, as

$$
\begin{equation*}
D a^{*}=\kappa t_{r, l}=\frac{\kappa R_{0}}{V} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t_{r, l}=R_{0} / V$ (Fernandez \& Homsy 2003). We can consider that $V$ is higher near the tip than near the base or that $t_{r, l}$ is larger near the base than near the tip. This leads to $D a^{*}$ being higher near the base than near the tip. Higher $D a^{*}$ means higher completion of the reaction. This results in the viscosity of the more viscous liquid being relatively lower near the base than near the tip. This situation is contrary to that in the case of significantly high $D a$ mentioned above. The displacing


Figure 22. The overlays of the fingering patterns at several times shown $(a)$ in figure $12(a)$, $(b)$ in figure $12(b),(c)$ in figure $13(a)$ and $(d)$ in figure $13(b)$. In $(a)$ and $(b)$, the fingering patterns at 10,60 and 120 s are drawn in black, blue and red colours, respectively. In (c) and (d), the fingering patterns at 100, 600 and 1200 s are drawn in black, blue and red colours, respectively.
liquid penetrating more easily the region with relatively lower viscosity results in the finger being widened mainly near the base in the present case. This difference in viscosity distribution along the finger interface is the most plausible mechanism for the opposite effects depending on $D a$. It should be noted that there is difference between $V$ or $t_{r, l}$ near the tip and that near the base even in the case of significantly high $D a$. In this case, however, there is no difference between $D a^{*}$ near the tip and that near the base since $\kappa$ can be treated as infinity.

Here, the overlays of the fingering patterns at several times shown in figuers $12(a)$ and $(b)$ are described in figures $22(a)$ and $22(b)$, respectively. In figure 22(a), the red colour at the finger base (around the injection hole) hardly appears, which shows that the finger width at the base hardly changes with time in the non-reactive case. This result is consistent with a known understanding that there is no interface velocity at the base, i.e. dynamics occurs only at the tip in the standard viscous fingering where the viscosity along the interface is not changed with time. In figure $22(b)$, in contrast, the red colour is observed at the base (around the injection hole), which shows that the finger width at the base increases with time in the reactive case. The comparison between the figures $22(a)$ and $22(b)$ verifies the mechanism for the formation of the present reactive finger proposed in the preceding paragraph in which the reactive finger base is widened over time. Figure $22(b)$ also suggests that the known understanding mentioned above is not applicable for the system in which the viscosity along the interface is locally changed with time by chemical reaction. Note that the results in figures $22(a)$ and $22(b)$ are also confirmed by the $d-r$ curves in figure 12(c) where the $d-r$ curves around the injection hole ( $r<20 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) in the non-reactive case hardly change with time, while that in the reactive case shift upward with time. The overlays of the fingering pattern at several times shown in figures $13(a)$ and $13(b)$
(a)

(b)
(c)


Figure 23. The overlays of the single fingers at several times shown $(a)$ in figure $17(a),(b)$ in figure $17(o)$ and $(c)$ in figure $17(c)$. In $(a)$ and (c), the fingers at 100, 200 and 400 s are drawn in black, dark grey and light grey colours, respectively. In (b), the fingers at 10, 20 and 40 s are drawn in black, dark grey and light grey colours, respectively.
are also described in figures $22(c)$ and $22(d)$, respectively. In the non-reactive case, the red colour at the finger base is hardly observed in figure 22(c). In the reactive case in figure $22(d)$, the red colour at the finger base is observed. Comparing figure $22(d)$ with figure 22(b), we find that the extent of widening of finger base is more significant as $D a$ is larger. Figures $23(a-c)$ describe the overlays of the single fingers at several times shown in figures 17(a) (the case of low Pe and $D a=0$ ), (o) (high Pe and low $D a$ ), and (c) (low $P e$ and high $D a$ ), respectively. We can observe that the finger is significantly widened near the base for the high $D a$ case ( $c$ ), whereas the finger widening at the base is insignificant for the low $D a$ case (b) or for the non-reactive case $(a)$. These results show that the proposed mechanism for the formation of the present reactive finger can be verified by the single-finger experiments.

It should be noted that it is impossible to raise the concentration of the metal ions because of the limit to their solubility in the present system. If we find a system in which $D a$ can be varied from a finite value to infinity (a significantly large value), the $d_{\max }$ with reaction will increase with $D a$ up to a threshold value and decrease with $D a$ over the threshold value and finally become smaller than that without reaction.

Here, we make further discussion regarding the finger widening near the base due to the present reaction by using a simplified model described in figure 24 . The coordinates $x$ and $y$ are set in a single finger, where the direction $x$ is that of finger propagation and $x=L$ means the tip of the finger (figure $24 a$ ). Now we assume that the local finger advancement velocity in the $x$ direction, $V_{x}$, at an arbitrary position on the finger interface $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)$ is proportional to the length of the finger at the point (this equals to $x_{i}$ ) (figure $24 b$ ). For the previous system involving significantly high $D a$ (Nagatsu et al. 2007), we can consider reactant flux to the interface in the $x$ direction, $F_{x}$, at $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)$ is proportional to $V_{x}$ and thus to $x_{i}$ based on the assumption mentioned above (figure $24 c$ ). This leads to the product concentration $c_{p}$ along the finger interface which is also proportional to $x_{i}$ (figure $24 c$ ). We know that the viscosity of the more viscous liquid linearly increases with the product concentration in the previous system involving the viscosity increase (see the Appendix). As a result, the viscosity of the more viscous liquid at $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)$ in the previous system involving the viscosity increase, $\eta_{1}$, satisfies the linear relation to $x_{i}$, as $\eta_{1}=A_{1} x_{i}+B_{1}$, where $A_{1}$ and $B_{1}$ are positive constants. For the present system, we can consider the local Damköhler number ( $D a^{*}$ ) introduced earlier, which is proportional to $x_{i}^{-1}$ based on the assumption mentioned above (figure $24 d$ ). Thus, the local fluid residence time,


Figure 24. (a) Schematic of a single finger showing the definition of the coordinates and ( $x_{i}$, $y_{i}$ ) at the finger interface. (b) Schematic of the relationship between $V_{x}$ and $x_{i}$. (c) Schematic of the relationship between $F_{x}$ or $c_{p}$ and $x_{i}$ for the previous system. (d) Schematic of the relationship between $D a^{*}$ or $t_{r, l}$ and $x_{i}$ for the present system. (e) Calculated $\eta_{1}$ and $\eta_{2}$ under the reasonable boundary conditions.
$t_{r, l}$, is also proportional to $x_{i}^{-1}$ (figure $24 d$ ). In the present system, the viscosity of the more viscous liquid can be considered to exponentially decrease with time on the basis of the results shown in figure 3 . We can consider that the viscosity of the more viscous liquid at $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)$ in the present system involving the viscosity decrease, $\eta_{2}$, exponentially decreases with $t_{r, l}$ and is expressed as $\eta_{2}=A_{2} \exp \left(-B_{2} / x_{i}\right)$, where $A_{2}$ and $B_{2}$ are positive constants. Figure $24(e)$ shows $\eta_{1}$ and $\eta_{2}$ where the positive constants $A_{1}, B_{1}, A_{2}$ and $B_{2}$ are determined to satisfy boundary conditions such as $\eta_{1}=\eta_{2}=2 \mathrm{Pas}$ at $x=L$ and $\eta_{1}=0.4 \mathrm{Pas}$ and $\eta_{2}=0.001 \mathrm{Pas}$ at $x=0.05 L$. These boundary conditions are considered to be reasonable because the viscosity of the more viscous liquid under the condition of the shear rate being $\dot{\gamma}=1 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ in the previous system varied in the range of $0.4-2 \mathrm{Pas}$ (see the Appendix) and that in the present system varied in the range of $0.001-2 \mathrm{Pas}$ (see figure 4 and we noted that the viscosity of the more viscous liquid after the completion of the reaction became that of water). Figure $24(e)$ shows that in the region of $x_{i}<0.35 L, \eta_{1}>\eta_{2}$,


Figure 25. $\Delta A(r)$ as a function of $r$ under the condition shown in figure 5 in which the total amount of the injected less viscous liquid is identical for $P e=2.0 \times 10^{2}$.
while in the region of $x_{i}>0.35 L, \eta_{1}<\eta_{2}$. Based on the concept in which the displacing liquid penetrates more easily the region with relatively lower viscosity, this indicates that it is easier for the finger to be widened near the base in the present system than in the previous system. Also, it is more difficult to be widened near the tip in the present system than in the previous system. These results theoretically support that the finger is widened mainly near the base in the present system since the finger is considered to be totally widened in the previous system involving the viscosity increase.

Final discussion is made below. Figure 25 shows the $\Delta A(r)-r$ relation under the condition shown in figure 5 (where the total amount of the injected less viscous liquid is identical) for $P e=2.0 \times 10^{2}$, where $\Delta r$ is set to 0.4 mm . Here, the $\Delta A(r)-r$ relation is described as curves rather than plots. In this figure, the area surrounded between the $\Delta A(r)-r$ curve and the horizontal axis equals to the total area of the fingering pattern. Under the same amount of the injected less viscous liquid, if there is no dispersion effect (this means immiscible system) and if the less viscous liquid completely displaces the more viscous liquid in the cell's gap direction (i.e. the fingering is completely twodimensional in the $r-\theta$ plane), the total area will be the same for all cases. As shown in figure 25, however, the total area increases with $D a$ although there is little difference in cases $(\mathrm{C})$ and $(\mathrm{D})$. We now consider that the more plausible reason of the difference in the total area is an increase in the dispersion effect accompanied by the decrease in the viscosity of the more viscous liquid due to the chemical reaction. This is because the significant difference in the area due to $D a$ occurs mainly inside the pattern ( $15 \mathrm{~mm}<r<30 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), while the difference is less significant near the tip ( $r>30 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) in figure 25. This suggests that the increase in the dispersion effects contributes to the increase in the area of the fingering pattern near the injection hole with $D a$.

## 5. Conclusion

In the present study, we found that a chemical reaction between a polyethylene oxide (PEO) solution and a solution containing copper ions $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ and ferrous ions


Figure 26. Dependence of viscosity of the more viscous liquid on the product concentration in the previous system involving the viscosity increase by the instantaneous reaction under the condition in which shear rate is $\dot{\gamma}=1 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.
$\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ decreases the viscosity of the mixture solution and that the concentration of the ions changes the rate of the decrease in viscosity, which corresponds to the chemical reaction rate, under the condition in which the rate is finite. We have succeeded in experimentally investigating the effects on miscible viscous fingering in Hele-Shaw cells of the decrease in the displaced liquid's viscosity due to chemical reaction under the condition where $P e$ and moderate $D a$ are independently varied by using the PEO solution and the ionic solution as the more and less viscous liquids, respectively.

Main analysis has been done for the radial fingering. In the range of $P e$ employed here, the fingering patterns without the reaction $(D a=0)$ were independent of $P e$. The fingering patterns with the reaction depended on the single parameter, $D a$, and the area occupied by the fingering pattern near the injection hole increased with $D a$ in the range of $D a$ employed here. The fractal dimension of the reactive fingering pattern also scaled on $D a$ and increased with $D a$. This is consistent with the independence of the fingering patterns without the reaction on $P e$. We also investigated the time evolution of the effects of the reaction on the fingering pattern. In the range of $D a$ employed here, the significant influence of the reaction on the pattern appeared beginning in the later stage of the fingering for low $D a$ and beginning in the middle stage for high $D a$. We measured the ratio of the area occupied by the fingering pattern within the circle whose radius is the longest finger's length to the area of the circle, $d_{\max }$, as was done in the previous study by Nagatsu et al. (2007) in which $d_{\max }$ with the reaction decreasing the viscosity of the more viscous liquid involving significantly high $D a$ was smaller than that without the reaction. In the range of $D a$ employed here, $d_{\max }$ increased with $D a$. In other words, $d_{\max }$ with the reaction was larger than that without the reaction. This result is opposite to that in the previous case. Experiments in the linear geometry show that the shape of a single finger also depended on the single parameter, $D a$, and the finger width increased near the base with $D a$. This result is also opposite to that in the previous case in which the width of a single finger was considered to be decreased by the reaction. These results, interestingly, show that
the effects of the decrease in the viscosity of the more viscous liquid due to chemical reaction for moderate $D a$ are opposite to those for significantly high Da. A mechanism for the opposite effects on the fingering pattern depending on $D a$ is discussed.
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## Appendix: Dependence of viscosity of the more viscous liquid on the product concentration in the previous system involving an increase in the viscosity by an instantaneous reaction (Nagatsu et al. 2007)

The reaction employed in the previous system involving an increase in the viscosity of the more viscous liquid by an instantaneous reaction was shown in (2.2) in Nagatsu et al. (2007). In the previous system, the viscosity increased with the product concentration, $c_{p}$, until $c_{p}$ reached $c_{p}=0.065 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{l}^{-1}$. Figure 26 shows the relationship between the viscosity of the more viscous liquid, $\eta$, and $c_{p}$ under the condition in which shear rate is $\dot{\gamma}=1 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. As shown in figure $26, \eta$ linearly increases with $c_{p}$.
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